Improving Train Energy Efficiency by **Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC) for Recovering Waste Heat from Exhaust Gas**







- 1. Objectives and context
- 2. Sizing the ORC
 - Heat recovery potential
 - Screening the working fluid
 - Expected performances
- 3. Prototype and testing setup
 - ORC architecture
 - Implementation at engine test bench
- 4. Experimental results
 - Steady-state evaluation
 - Boiler hunting limitation
- 5. Conclusions





1. Objectives and context

- **2.** Sizing the ORC
 - Heat recovery potential
 - Screening the working fluid
 - Expected performances
- 3. Prototype and testing setup
 - ORC architecture
 - Implementation at engine test bench
- 4. Experimental results
 - Steady-state evaluation
 - Boiler hunting limitation
- **5.** Conclusions



1. Objectives and context

700 Regional trains in Europe

- 30% full electric, 23% Diesel and 47% dual-mode
- Diesel engines linked to high-power generators for electric traction (>300kW and >50L/100km)

Energy balance

- 60% of the fuel energy content is lost : 30% in exhaust gas + 30% in coolant
- Exergy analysis shows that more energy is recoverable from exhaust gas than from engine coolant

ORC is a proven solution for waste heat recovery:

- Exists in serial for stationnary engines (biogas gensets, marine) → steady-state conditions
- Challenging to apply it onboard a train transient conditions
- Expander could be a turbogenerator to reinject the produced electricity in the electric train grid



Train application





© 2015 - IFP Energies nouvelles





- 1. Objectives and context
- 2. Sizing the ORC
 - Heat recovery potential
 - Screening the working fluid
 - Expected performances
- 3. Prototype and testing setup
 - ORC architecture
 - Implementation at engine test bench
- 4. Experimental results
 - Steady-state evaluation
 - Boiler hunting limitation
- **5.** Conclusions



2. Sizing the ORC



Heat recovery potential

Exergy simulation on real profiles





 $\Delta Ex_{12} = (H_2 - H_1) - T_0 \cdot (S_2 - S_1)$

RESULTS w/ assumptions (recovery on exhaust gas only with ORC boiler after DPF Diesel Particulate Filter) **ORC Configuration** 1. 2. 4. 3. 5. 25°C Cold sink T [°C] 50°C 50°C 50°C 50°C Max. fluid T [°C] No limitation No limitation 200°C 200°C 150°C 1m downstream **Boiler position** Just after DPF Just after DPF Just after DPF Just after DPF DPF Exergy on profile A High speed profile 48kW (14%) 24kW (7%) 42kW (13%) 39kW (12%) 36kW (12%) [% mech. energy] **Exergy on profile B** 49kW (14%) 24kW (7%) 41kW (13%) 38kW (11%) 36kW (10%) Stop & Go profile [% mech. energy]

ASME ORC 2015, 3rd International Seminar on ORC Power Systems, 12-14 October 2015, Brussels – Paper ID: 193



2. Sizing the ORC



Screening the working fluid

ORC simulations with parametric variations

SIMULATION

- ORC 0D steady-state model using Matlab platform
- RefProp database for fluid properties
- Assumptions on ORC components efficiencies
- ORC efficiency evaluation for engine max power point
- 100 different fluids tested
 - Water
 - Ammonia, SO2
 - Hydrocarbons, Alcohols
 - HFC: Hydrofluorocarbons...

Bis DC Rankine Rankine Rankine K

CRITERIA FOR FLUID SELECTION

- Thermodynamic performance: ↓ pump energy, ↑ exergy transfer ,↑ expansion energy recovery , ↓ energy of condensation
- Environment: not flammable and low toxicity, no ODP (Ozone Depleting Potential), low GWP (Global Warming Potential)
- Durability : chemical stability, material compatibility
- Cost



| Fluid characteristic | R245fa | Fluid B | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Formula | C3H3F5 | Confidential | |
| Max. continuous T | 154°C | <300°C | |
| Critical P/T | 154°C 36,5bar | <200°C <20bar | |
| Inflammability NFPA / HMIS | 1 / 1 Non flammable | 0 / 0 Non flammable | |
| Toxicity NFPA / HMIS | 2/2 | Low | |
| ODP GWP | 0 950-1030 | 0 <50 | |
| Supplier Cost | Honeywell Medium | Confidential High | |





Expected performances

Predicted performances at max. power engine operating point

| Fluid | R245fa | Fluid B |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Heat power received by the fluid in the boiler | 134 kW | 122 kW |
| Heat power lost by the fluid in the condenser | 117 kW | 107 kW |
| Electrical power generated by the turbine | 16 kW | 14 kW |
| Electrical pump power consumption | 1,8 kW | 1,6 kW |
| Cooling power consumption | 5,8 kW | 3,6 kW |
| ORC efficiency (compared to heat on fluid) | 6,3 % | 7,2 % |
| Fluid mass flow | 2150 kg/h | 3600 kg/h |
| P / T in HP branch | 25 bar / 167 °C | 15 bar / 200 °C |
| P / T in LP branch | 3,6 bar / 41°C | 1,5 bar / 50 °C |

ASME ORC 2015, 3rd International Seminar on ORC Power Systems, 12-14 October 2015, Brussels – Paper ID: 193





- **1. Objectives and context**
- **2.** Sizing the ORC
 - Heat recovery potential
 - Screening the working fluid
 - Expected performances
- 3. Prototype and testing setup
 - ORC architecture
 - Implementation at engine test bench
- 4. Experimental results
 - Steady-state evaluation
 - Boiler hunting limitation
- **5.** Conclusions



3. Prototype and testing setup

Definition of ORC prototype layout

ORC architecture



Water with regulated T Selection of ORC components with criteria of cost, availability,

weight and compactness

- Industrial volumetric self-lubricated pump driven by an electric motor
- Cross flow stainless steel boiler with mixing tubes (for fluid >3m²) and plates (for exhaust gas >50m²)
- Axial turbine linked to a generator on same axle
- Addition of a regenerator (pre-heating of the pressurized liquid with hot vapor after turbine expansion)
- Off-the-shelf condenser
- In-house control ORC ECU
- Optimisation and design of turbine blades adapted to the 2 fluids (R245fa and fluid B)
- Prototype CAD: volume constraint (anticipation of ORC implementation on train)
- Prototype manufacturing and assembly



3. Prototype and testing setup

Prototype



CAD view of the prototype

Real view of the prototype







3. Prototype and testing setup

ORC implementation at engine bench



- Same engine as in the train with its full exhaust line including DPF muffler
- Implementation of a smart inverter and transformer to measure ORC electric production
- Implementation of an ORC inhouse control
 - Real-time thermodynamical balance of the ORC during tests
 - ORC global efficiency
 - Every component efficiency
 - Mollier's diagram
 - P, T in the ORC loop





- **1.** Objectives and context
- **2.** Sizing the ORC
 - Heat recovery potential
 - Screening the working fluid
 - Expected performances
- 3. Prototype and testing setup
 - ORC architecture
 - Implementation at engine test bench
 - 4. Experimental results
 - Steady-state evaluation
 - Boiler hunting limitation
- **5.** Conclusions



Results on the max. power engine point (>300kW)



ASME ORC 2015, 3rd International Seminar on ORC Power Systems, 12-14 October 2015, Brussels – Paper ID: 193



Boiler hunting limitation

Diphasic (gas/liquid) exchangers can oscillate in terms of T and P with stable input conditions: *« boiler hunting » phenomenon.*



- The oscillation period and the amplitude depend on the working fluid
 - R245fa: SH period=40s, SH amplitude=8°C
 - Fluid B: SH period=17s, SH amplitude=6°C
- These oscillations must be suppressed to ensure a robust and consistent ORC control in transient conditions





- **1.** Objectives and context
- **2.** Sizing the ORC
 - Heat recovery potential
 - Screening the working fluid
 - Expected performances
- 3. Prototype and testing setup
 - ORC architecture
 - Implementation at engine test bench
- 4. Experimental results
 - Steady-state evaluation
 - Boiler hunting limitation
- 5. Conclusions





From a blank sheet of paper ... to an operational ORC prototype

- Pre-industrial project for an ORC prototype ready to be implemented onboard a train. Different stages leading to an operational system:
 - Pre-sizing study for heat recovery potential by simulation
 - Screening of the different working fluids by simulation
 - Definition of the ORC architecture, selection of the components, manufacturing
 - Implementation on the engine test bench with real-time thermodynamical balance
 - Realistic tests with the same engine as in the train
 - Different stages of prototype evolution in order to increase ORC efficiency
- 10kW of ORC electric production for the max. power engine point leading to an interesting fuel economy

Outlooks

- **Evolution of the boiler to increase ORC global efficiency and limit the boiler hunting**
- Development of a robust dynamic ORC control for transient conditions
- Integration onboard the train...

Thank you for your attention



ORC - IFPEN references

- 2014, Control design for an automotive turbine Rankine Cycle system based on nonlinear state estimation, Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), IEEE, p. 3316 - 3321
- 2013, Integrated waste heat recovery system with Rankine cycle, 22nd Aachen Colloquium Automobile and Engine Technology
- 2013, Rankine System for Heat Recovery: an Interesting Way to Reduce Fuel Consumption, ICE powertrain electrification and energy recovery international conference, SIA, Rueil-Malmaison, France
- 2010, Potential Of Waste Heat Recovery For Automotive Engines Using Detailed Simulation, ASME-ATI-UIT Conference on Thermal and Environmental Issues, Sorrento, Italy
- 2009, Heat Recovery for next Generation of Hybrid Vehicles: Simulation and Design of a Rankine Cycle System, EVS24 Stavanger, Norway

27

2008, Energy and Exergy Balances for Modern Diesel and Gasoline Engines, Les rencontres scientifiques de l'IFP, Advances in hybrid powertrains, Rueil-Malmaison, France

۵



Innovating for energy

www.ifpenergiesnouvelles.com